

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

_____	)	
MAJID ABDULLA AL JOUDI, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	
	)	
Petitioners,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Civil Action No. 05-CV-0301 (GK)
	)	
GEORGE W. BUSH,	)	
President of the United States, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	
	)	
Respondents.	)	
_____	)	

**NOTICE OF TRANSFER OF PETITIONER AND WITHDRAWAL OF REQUEST FOR DESIGNATION OF CERTAIN INFORMATION AS PROTECTED INFORMATION**

Respondents hereby provide notice that petitioner Majid Abdulla Al Joudi (ISN 025) has been transferred to the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and released from United States custody.<sup>1</sup>

In the Stipulation and Order filed with the Court though Judge Rosemary Collyer, acting as emergency Judge on February 18, 2007, the parties agreed that, pursuant to the protective orders entered by the Order of April 29, 2005 (dkt. no. 26), the Stipulation and Order, would be designated as “Protected Information” pending notice by respondents of completion of the

---

<sup>1</sup> This filing is made without prejudice to the government’s position that this Court lacks jurisdiction over this action in light of Detainee Treatment Act of 2005, Pub. L. No. 109-148, tit. X, 119 Stat. 2680, which, among other things, amends 28 U.S.C. § 2241 to create an exclusive review mechanism in the D.C. Circuit to address the validity of the detention of aliens held by the Department of Defense as enemy combatants at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, and final decisions of any military commissions, *id.* § 1005(e)(1), (e)(2), (e)(3), or under the Military Commissions Act of 2006, Pub. L. No. 109-366 (2006), which, among other things, amends 28 U.S.C. § 2241 to eliminate district court jurisdiction to consider habeas petitions, as well as any other action “relating to any aspect of the detention, transfer, treatment, trial, or conditions of confinement,” of aliens detained by the United States as enemy combatants, *id.* § 7. That position was upheld in Boumediene v. Bush, No. 05-5062 (D.C. Cir.) (Feb. 20, 2007), at 25 (dismissing Guantanamo Bay detainees’ habeas corpus cases based on lack of jurisdiction); *see also* Floyd v. District of Columbia, 129 F.3d 152, 155 (D.C. Cir. 1997) (noting that “jurisdiction cannot be waived”).

transfer of petitioner to Saudi Arabia. Accordingly, the transfer having taken place, respondents hereby withdraw their request to have the Stipulation and Order treated as protected information.

Dated: February 22, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

PETER D. KEISLER  
Assistant Attorney General

DOUGLAS N. LETTER  
Terrorism Litigation Counsel

/s/ Andrew I. Warden

JOSEPH H. HUNT (D.C. Bar No. 431134)  
VINCENT M. GARVEY (D.C. Bar No. 127191)  
JUDRY L. SUBAR  
TERRY M. HENRY  
JAMES J. SCHWARTZ  
ROBERT J. KATERBERG  
ANDREW I. WARDEN (IN Bar. No. 23840-49)  
NICHOLAS J. PATTERSON  
EDWARD H. WHITE  
United States Department of Justice  
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W.  
Washington, DC 20530  
Tel: (202) 514-4107  
Fax: (202) 616-8470

Attorneys for Respondents